

Reports for Committee Members / University Campuses

Name of Institution: University of North Carolina School of the Arts

Prepared by: Karyl Creech

Date: September 8, 2009

1. Total Amount of Bond Dollars Allocated to this Campus?

\$42,980,513.00 – Total Allocation

2. Please list the projects currently underway, the estimated cost, and the status of each of these projects?

Basic Education Complex	\$16,197,637	Project Closed
Steven Center	\$4,524,461	Project Closed
Film Archives	\$1,974,217	Project Closed
Student Services Support Complex	\$2,832,485	Project Closed
Dance Costume Shop	\$1,709,261	Project Closed
Residence Hall	\$1,913,969	Project Closed
Combined into one project		
Workplace Building #2		
Crawford Hall & Recital Hall		
Gray Classroom Building		
Renovation of DeMille Theatre	\$6,861,809	Project Closed
Technology Infrastructure Expansion	\$1,772,300	Project Closed
Land Acquisition	\$3,713,718	Ongoing
Reserve for Effective Project Management	\$1,480,656	Project Closed

3. Are the projects adequately timed to reflect cash-flow requirements? If not, explain.

Yes, projects are adequately timed to reflect cash-flow requirements. Cash flow analysis is performed regularly to ensure project cash needs are in-line with actual availability of funds.

4. List the projects that are substantially above or below budget and explain why. ("Substantial" is defined as plus or minus 10 %.)

None

5. Overall, are the projects underway on this campus ahead, behind, or on schedule?

On Schedule

6. What type of construction methods are being used (Single Prime, Multi-Prime, Construction Manager, and Construction Manager at Risk)? Which ones have proven to be most effective and efficient?

Basic Education Complex is a Multi-Prime project.

All others were Single-Prime projects.

Single Prime is more effective and efficient because there is one point of contact through the general contractor that can be held accountable and coordination of work performed is more efficient.

7. If this campus is using CM at Risk for any of its projects, please describe your overall experiences using this method.

N/A – NCSA is not using CM at Risk on any campus projects

8. Are the expenditures of the proceeds from the bonds issued under this act in compliance with the provisions of this act?

Yes, expenses are reviewed closely to ensure compliance with the provisions of this act.

9. Are there projects that have had a change in scope from its original intent? If any of these projects require General Assembly approval, please list these projects and the change.

Yes, Residence Hall project, per the bond language, was originally anticipated being built for college students however, due to the anticipated increase and overall needs of the high school students a change of scope has been approved by the General Assembly. The Residence Hall project has been changed to High School Student Residential Facility to accommodate this growing need. The change of scope was approved in Senate Bill 1115, Session Law 2002-126 Section 9.3.(b).

This information has been presented on a previous update.

10. List projects currently underway that require additional funding, other than bond dollars.

None

11. What are the sources for these funds? Are all these funds on hand? If not, please explain why.

N/A

12. What is the current HUB participation rate at this campus (please break down for Women, African-American, and Other)? Please list and explain efforts to reach HUB goals.

<i>Project</i>	<i>African-American</i>	<i>Women</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Total</i>
<i>Basic Education Complex Phase 1</i>	<i>.85%</i>	<i>4.02%</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>4.87%</i>
<i>Stevens Center</i>	<i>1.58%</i>	<i>1.51%</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>3.09%</i>
<i>Film Archives Building</i>	<i>8.60%</i>	<i>15.21%</i>	<i>.81%</i>	<i>24.62%</i>
<i>Student Service Support Complex</i>	<i>.03%</i>	<i>25.02%</i>	<i>.07%</i>	<i>25.12%</i>
<i>Residence Hall Connector</i>	<i>.16%</i>	<i>5.70%</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>5.86%</i>
<i>Costume Shop</i>	<i>5.48%</i>	<i>12.34%</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>17.82%</i>
<i>Workplace, Gray, Crawford, deMille Roofing Project</i>	<i>0.0%</i>	<i>7.92%</i>	<i>1.62%</i>	<i>9.54%</i>
<i>Workplace, Gray, Crawford, deMille Renovation Project</i>	<i>.92%</i>	<i>36.11%</i>	<i>1.06%</i>	<i>38.09%</i>

We are working diligently to ensure participation of Historically Underutilized Business (HUB), where possible. We have given one of our Bond staff people the HUB coordinator title. The HUB Coordinator is working on ways to bring our HUB numbers up in addition to updating a minority subcontractor and vendor list or companies within the state and all their pertinent information.

13. Please describe any innovative practices being used to complete the Bond Program.

We participated in the Partnering program and have since held an appreciation luncheon with the Owners, State Construction Representative and Contractors.

14. What are the major challenges facing this University in completing the bond projects.
Designer & Contractor participation and unfavorable weather conditions have presented challenges on some of the projects.
15. If this challenge was listed previously, what has been done since the last meeting/survey to remedy the situation?
The Facilities Construction Office on this campus is working very closely with each designer and contractor to ensure effective and efficient projects and project management.
16. Please list projects that are scheduled to start within the next quarter.
All projects are complete except for the Land Acquisition Project.
17. Additional Comments/Concerns.